



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7065
Title of the Manuscript:	The Intellectual Capital in Nonprofit Organizations (NPOs)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Your paper addresses an important issue. The aim of your paper is to investigate the intellectual capitals in nonprofit organizations. Strengths of the paper are as follows: • The title is accurate and sufficiently descriptive of the content. • The key words are in line with the purpose of the paper. • The paper consists of six parts (introduction, methodology, introducing the model, results, discussion, conclusions). This structure is coincide with the purpose of your research	In fact my means "Evaluating", any way I think "investigate" is the better word.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	concept. • Important and acceptable comments: ○ You have identified three major items of the intellectual capital. ○ Your population census is in line with the basic rule of benchmarking survey technique (30:100, 124 items from total population, n=184). • The purpose of the paper is clearly stated. • The references are cited appropriately to support the manuscript. Our recommendations are as follows: • The reader doesn't know too much about basic characteristics of targeted non-profit organizations. • It would be very useful to outline major items of your questionnaire.	Please check page 1, abstract section (Aims). The questionnaire used in this research are mentioned on page 12.
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	• Please, replace the word “sexuality” with “gender”. (Table 2) • It would be advisable to cite some sources about main subject of your paper (e.g. intellectual capital in non-profit organizations etc.) to support your thoughts. • Your paper doesn’t contain enough indications on limitations. Overall, this is an interesting piece of a research paper. I find your analysis interesting and intriguing. I propose this paper should be modified in line with the written recommendations above and then to be published.	Was corrected. Inspired by research interests of professors at Cambridge University and Study papers like " M.R. Martinez-Torres, 2006, A procedure to design a structural and measurement model of Intellectual Capital": An exploratory study, I proceeded to prepare this article. During the investigation did not feel any restriction. Thank you very much
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		